



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/895,197	07/02/2001	Yoshimi Kudo	Q64874	8787	
7590 03/01/2005			EXAMINER		
	MION, ZINN, MACPE	LE, HUYEN D			
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, D	OC 20037		2643	TAI ER NOMBER	
			DATE MAILED: 03/01/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/895,197	KUDO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		HUYEN D. LE	2643				
The MAILING DATE of thi Period for Reply	s communication app	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY I THE MAILING DATE OF THIS (- Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da - If the period for reply specified above is les - If NO period for reply is specified above, th - Failure to reply within the set or extended I Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Cl	COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 te of this communication. ss than thirty (30) days, a reply e maximum statutory period w period for reply will, by statute, three months after the mailing	i6(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered time HS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on 08 De	ecember 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .							
	<u>_</u>						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 5-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is object	ed to by the Examine	r .					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<u> </u>	af a alatus fau faustus		440() ()) (0				
2. Certified copies of t3. Copies of the certified	None of: he priority documents he priority documents ed copies of the prior International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Apity documents have been in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
Attachment(s)		₽.					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawii Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Feature Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ng Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date /ormal Patent Application (PT 	⁻ O-152)			

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 5-7 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Kawamura et al. (U.S. patent 4,478,309), Sakamoto et al. (U.S. patent 5,594,805) Kobayashi (U.S. patent 4,118,605) and Knowles (U.S. patent 2,295,483). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

The final rejection mailed 09/08/2004 is vacated, and the Amendment under 37 C.F.R. 1.116 filed 12/08/2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim 6 recites the limitation "the voice coil" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barton (U.S. patent 1,713,210).

Regarding claims 1 and 8, Barton teaches a diaphragm body (26) that is made of metal, and an auxiliary diaphragm (4) that is made of paper. As shown in figure 2, the auxiliary diaphragm (4) is integrally bonded to a first part of the diaphragm body (26, also see page 1,

Application/Control Number: 09/895,197

Art Unit: 2643

lines 84-86), and the auxiliary diaphragm (4) is formed to cover only the center portion of the diaphragm body (26).

Further, Barton shows a second part (the outer surface) of the diaphragm body (26) that is not covered by the diaphragm (4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walsh (U.S. patent 3,424,873).

Walsh teaches a diaphragm body made of metal (1 and see col. 9, lines 6-7), and an auxiliary diaphragm made of paper (32 and see col. 9, lines 4-6 and 8-10) that is integrally

Application/Control Number: 09/895,197

Art Unit: 2643

bonded to a part of the diaphragm body (also see col. 14, line 75 through col. 15, lines 1-2, and figure 8).

Walsh does not specifically teach that the outer configuration and the area of the auxiliary diaphragm are set based on the high limit frequency of the diaphragm to which the auxiliary diaphragm is bonded as claimed.

However, Walsh does teach that the vibratory energy absorption means (the low modulus material) at the larger end of the diaphragm body can be adjusted for the desired sound waves (col. 3, lines 4-18, col. 6, lines 36-42 and col. 9, lines 14-16).

Since Walsh does not restrict an area for the damping material; it therefore would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide any area and the outer configuration for the auxiliary diaphragm (having the low modulus material) of the Walsh diaphragm for better controlling and providing the desired frequency characteristics to the speaker system.

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walsh (U.S. patent 3,424,873) in view of Kobayashi (U.S. patent 4,118,605) or Knowles (U.S. patent 2,295,483).

Walsh teaches a diaphragm body made of metal (1 and see col. 9, lines 6-7), and an auxiliary diaphragm made of paper (32 and see col. 9, lines 4-6 and 8-10) that is integrally bonded to a part of the diaphragm body (also see col. 14, line 75 through col. 15, lines 1-2, and figure 8).

Walsh does not show a through hole for inserting a connecting cable at the portion as claimed. However, providing a through hole at the diaphragm for inserting a connecting cable to connect to a voice coil is known in the art.

Kobayashi or Knowles teaches a through hole in the diaphragm for connecting the voice coil leads (figure 2 in Kobayashi and figure 6 in Knowles).

Since Walsh does teach a paper auxiliary diaphragm that is bonded to the metal diaphragm (col. 9, lines 1-10 and col. 15 lines 1-2 and see figure 8); it therefore would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide a through hole on the diaphragm (1, 32) of Walsh for inserting the voice coil leads, as taught by Kobayashi or Knowles, for providing a better connection of the voice coil leads to the terminals.

Walsh further does not specifically teach a feeder portion that can be made of the paper of the auxiliary diaphragm. However, providing a feeder portion or a grommet to be made of paper is known in the art.

Since Walsh does teach that the diaphragm is made of paper; it therefore would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide a feeder portion or a grommet on the diaphragm (1, 32) of Walsh in view of Kobayashi and Knowles to be made of paper for the flexibility and providing the same paper material to the diaphragm.

8. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walsh (U.S. patent 3,424,873) in view of Suzuki et al.(U.S. patent 4,395,597), Kawamura (U.S. patent 4,478,309), or Sakamoto (U.S. patent 5,594,805).

Walsh teaches a diaphragm body made of metal (1 and see col. 9, lines 6-7), and an auxiliary diaphragm made of paper (32 and see col. 9, lines 4-6 and 8-10) that is integrally bonded to a part of the diaphragm body (also see col. 14, line 75 through col. 15, lines 1-2, and figure 8).

Walsh does not specifically show a cylindrical portion at the center of the diaphragm (1, 32) as claimed. However, providing a cylindrical portion at the center of the diaphragm for connecting to a voice coil bobbin is known in the art.

Suzuki (figure 11), Kawamura (figure 1) and Sakamoto (figures 2-8) show a cylindrical portion at the center of the diaphragm for connecting to a coil bobbin.

Since Walsh does show a coil bobbin (13) that is integrally formed at the center the diaphragm (1, 32, figures 2 and 8); it therefore would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide a cylindrical portion at the center of the diaphragm or the auxiliary diaphragm (1, 32) for providing a better connecting of the diaphragm to the voice coil bobbin.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 3-4 have been allowed.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUYEN D. LE whose telephone number is (703) 305-4844. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM-6:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/895,197

Art Unit: 2643

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CURTIS KUNTZ can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HL

February 18, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7